INTRODUCTION TO THE MECHANICS OF VEHICLE COLLISION AND CRASH

Pierre Duysinx

Research Center in Sustainable Automotive Technologies of University of Liege Academic Year 2021-2022

Bibliography

- G. Genta. « Motor Vehicle Dynamics Modeling and Simulation. World Scientific. 1997.
- M. Huang. Vehicle Crash Mechanics. CRC Press, 2002.
- J.-F. Debongnie. Cours de Véhicules Automobiles.

Introduction

- Safety issues: determine how collision against a fixed or a mobile obstacle will conduct to body damage and occupants injury
- Shocks introduce :
 - Large decelerations
 - Deformation of the vehicle structures
- The large accelerations are dangerous because
 - They lead to shocks for the passengers against the vehicle parts
 - They introduce internal efforts to human bodies which lead to important damages
- Car body deformations are dangerous because the possible contact of parts with the passengers and the intrusion of cutting and perforating parts

Introduction

 Consequence: configuration of the car with stiff compartment that remain intact and to preserve the passengers and with crushing zones at the front and at the back to absorb the energy and mitigate the decelerations



Introduction

- Conflicting targets of the vehicle design for crashworthiness is to limit simultaneously the deformation and the deceleration
- Because of the strongly conflicting nature of both criteria, one has to try to achieve compromise.



Source: http://www.euroncap.com/

IMPULSIVE MODEL OF COLLISIONS

- Let consider two masses m₁ and m₂ with given velocities v₁ and v₂ in the same direction.
- Collision happens if v₁ > v₂
- Let's consider an impulsive model of the collision



BEFORE THE SHOCK



Linear total momentum

$$p = m_1 v_1 + m_2 v_2$$

Average velocity (centre of mass)

$$u = \frac{p}{m_1 + m_2} = \frac{m_1 v_1 + m_2 v_2}{m_1 + m_2}$$

Relative velocities w.r.t. the CM

$$w_1 = v_1 - u \quad w_2 = v_2 - u$$

BEFORE THE SHOCK



Relative linear momentum

 $p_r = m_1 \, w_1 + m_2 \, w_2$

Must be zero

$$p_r = m_1 (v_1 - u) + m_2 (m_2 - u)$$

= $m_1 v_1 + m_2 v_2 - (m_1 + m_2) u$
= $p - p = 0$



The momentum is preserved since there is not external force

$$p = m_1 v_1' + m_2 v_2'$$

The relative momentum remains zero

$$p_r = m_1 w_1' + m_2 w_2' = 0$$

BEFORE THE SHOCK



Kinetic energy

$$2T = m_1 v_1^2 + m_2 v_2^2 = m_1 (u + w_1)^2 + m_2 (u + w_2)^2$$

= $(m_1 + m_2) u^2 + 2 u (m_1 w_1 + m_2 w_2) + m_1 w_1^2 + m_2 w_2^2$
= $\frac{p^2}{m_1 + m_2} + m_1 w_1^2 + m_2 w_2^2 = 2T_0 + 2T_r$

- T₀ kinetic energy of the overall motion
- T_r relative kinetic energy

AFTER THE SHOCK

- The kinetic energy T₀ of the overall system is preserved
- No conservation of the relative kinetic energy T_r
 - Elastic shocks:

$$T_r = T_r'$$

Perfectly soft shocks

$$T'_r = 0$$

- Soft shocks: a part of the kinetic energy is dissipated : $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ the restitution coefficient

$$T'_r = \epsilon^2 T_r \quad 0 \le \epsilon \le 1$$

- For motor vehicle collisions, the value of ε is low, typically in the range of 0.05 to 0.2 in case of impacts with large permanent deformations
- The restitution coefficient ε depends on the relative velocity and can be higher in low speed collisions tending towards unity when no permanent deformation are left

Impulsive model of collisions: Solution



Impulsive model of collisions: Solution

Particular case study: vehicle against a stiff wall:

$$v_2 = 0 \qquad m_2 \gg m_1$$

Solution

$$2T_0 = \frac{p_1^2}{m_1 + m_2} \approx 0 \qquad \qquad u = \frac{m_1 w_1}{m_1 + m_2} \approx 0$$

 $T_r = \frac{1}{2} m_1 w_1^2 \approx \frac{1}{2} m_1 v_1^2 \qquad (1 - \epsilon^2) T_r = (1 - \epsilon^2) \frac{1}{2} m_1 v_1^2$

MODEL OF A FRONTAL CRASH AGAINST A RIGID WALL



- The vehicle is modelled as mass m representing the non deformable part and a crushing part aiming to absorb the energy.
- Assume a multi-linear model with two linear regions:
 - When crushing, the effort grows linearly with the deformation
 - Then an instantaneous dissipation phase at constant deformation
 - Finally an elastic return

x_o déformation maximale

Energy balance

- The balance of energies is the following:
 - The energy before crash
 - Stored energy at rest
 - The restituted energy

$$T = 1/2 m v_0^2$$

$$W = 1/2 k x_0^2$$

$$V = 1/2 k' (x_0 - x_p)^2$$

 When stopped, the kinetic energy is equal to the deformation energy: W=T

Where we have defined the pulsation $\omega =$

 $\omega = \sqrt{k/m}$

Energy balance

• The spring-back energy is transformed into kinetic energy

$$V = T' = \epsilon^2 T \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{2} m v_o'^2 = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} m v_o^2 = \frac{1}{2} k' (x_0 - x_p)^2$$

It comes

$$\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} m \,\omega^2 \,x_o^2 = \frac{1}{2} \,k' (x_0 - x_p)^2 \qquad \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \,k \,x_o^2 = \frac{1}{2} \,k' (x_0 - x_p)^2$$
$$\epsilon \,\sqrt{k} \,x_o = \sqrt{k'} (x_0 - x_p)$$

So

$$x_p = (1 - \epsilon \sqrt{k/k'}) x_0 = (1 - \epsilon \sqrt{k/k'}) v_0/\omega$$

FIRST PART OF THE SHOCK

Equation of motion

$$m \ddot{x} + k x = 0$$

- Solution
 - displacement

 $x = x_0 \, \sin \omega t$

velocity

$$\dot{x} = x_0 \,\omega \,\cos\omega t$$

$$v_0 = \omega x_0$$

acceleration

$$\ddot{x} = -x_0\omega^2 \sin \omega t = -\omega^2 x$$
$$\gamma_0 = \omega^2 x_0 = \omega v_0$$

FIRST PART OF THE SHOCK

End of the first part of the motion

 $t_c = \pi/2\omega$

SECOND PART OF THE MOTION

Equation of motion

 $m \ddot{x} + k' (x - x_p) = 0$ $m (\ddot{x} - \ddot{x}_p) + k' (x - x_p) = 0$

Its solution

$$(x - x_p) = (x_0 - x_p) \cos \omega' (t - t_c)$$
$$\omega' = \sqrt{k'/m} = \omega \sqrt{k'/k}$$

SECOND PART OF THE MOTION

• Given the value of x_p, the solution writes:

$$(x - x_p) = \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{k}{k'}} x_0 \, \cos \omega'(t - t_c) = \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{k}{k'}} \frac{v_0}{\omega} \, \cos \omega'(t - t_c)$$

One gets the displacement, the velocity, the acceleration

$$(x - x_p) = \epsilon \frac{v_0}{\omega'} \cos \omega' (t - t_c)$$
$$v = \epsilon v_0 \sin \omega' (t - t_c)$$
$$\gamma = -\epsilon \omega' v_0 \cos \omega' (t - t_c)$$

Parameter identification



Accelerations as function of the time



Speed as function of the time (after time integration)



Displacement as function of the time (after time integration)



Acceleration function of the position

Discussion

 It comes from the model that the maximum displacement and the accelerations are given by:

$$x_0 = v_0/\omega \qquad \gamma_{max} = \omega v_0$$

- So when reducing ω, one also reduces γ_{max}, but one increases the deformation, which is restricted by the length of the front of the car or at the price of increasing the length of the car. Conversely increasing ω increases the acceleration but gives a shorter deformation.
- One has to find a compromise between the maximum deformation and the deceleration rate

Discussion

The following empirical rule is often mentioned

$$1km/h = 1cm = 1g$$

- Unfortunately it is incompatible with the physics as shown by the model:
 - $v_0 = 0,2778 \ m/S$ $\omega = \gamma/v_0 = 35,51$ $x_0 = 0,01m$ $\omega = v_0/x_0 = 27,78$ $\gamma = 9,81m/s^2$
- The model shows that :

$$\gamma_{max} = \frac{v_0^2}{x_0}$$



 $\gamma_{max} = \frac{v_0^2}{x_0}$

means that the maximum acceleration is modified as:

- The square of the initial velocity
- The inverse of the maximum deformation

This demonstrates the usefulness of sufficiently large crushing zones.

The following rule is consistent with the physics:

$$1km/h = 1cm = 0.8g$$



Modelling of the situation

- Let
 - x₀ the coordinate of the contact point between the two vehicles
 - x₁ and x₂ the coordinates of the centres of mass of the two vehicles
- The interaction forces between the two vehicles is given by:

$$F_{12} = k_1 (x_1 - x_0) = k_2 (x_0 - x_2)$$

So

$$\begin{array}{c} x_1 - x_0 = \frac{F_{12}}{k_1} \\ x_0 - x_2 = \frac{F_{21}}{k_2} \end{array}$$

Which gives

$$x_1 - x_2 = F_{12} \left(\frac{1}{k_1} + \frac{1}{k_2} \right) = F_{12} \frac{k_1 + k_2}{k_1 \cdot k_2} = \frac{F_{12}}{k_e} \qquad k_e = \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2}{k_1 + k_2}$$

The equation of motion

$$\begin{cases} m_1 \ddot{x}_1 + k_e(x_1 - x_2) = 0\\ m_2 \ddot{x}_2 + k_e(x_2 - x_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Let's look for a solution of the form

$$x_1 = X_1 e^{st} \quad x_2 = X_2 e^{st}$$

One gets the conditions

$$\begin{cases} (m_1 s^2 + k_e) X_1 + k_e X_2 = 0\\ -k_e X_1 + (m_2 s^2 + k_e) X_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

The homogeneous system

$$(m_1 s^2 + k_e) X_1 - k_e X_2 = 0$$

-k_e X_1 + (m_2 s^2 + k_e) X_2 = 0

admits solutions only of the determinant is zero

$$\Delta = (m_1 s^2 + k_e) \cdot (m_2 s^2 + k_e) - k_e^2$$

= $m_1 m_2 s^4 + k_e (m_1 + m_2) s^2$
= $m_1 m_2 s^2 \left(s^2 + \frac{k_e (m_1 + m_2)}{m_1 \cdot m_2} \right)$

The solutions are

s = 0 (deux fois) $s = \pm i \omega$ avec $\omega^2 = \frac{k_e}{m_e}$ $m_e = \frac{m_1 \cdot m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$

• The roots correspond to the following motion modes:

s = 0 $S = \pm i \omega$ $K_{1} = X_{2}$ $K_{1} = X_{2}$ $K_{2} = -k_{e}X_{1} = k_{e}X_{2}$ $-k_{e}X_{1} = (k_{e} - m_{2}\omega^{2})X_{2}$ $-m_{1}\omega^{2}X_{1} = -m_{2}\omega^{2}X_{2}$ $X_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}X_{1}$

One gets the final solution of the motion:

$$x_{1} = A_{1} \sin \omega t + A_{2} \cos \omega t + A_{3} t + A_{4}$$

$$x_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} A_{1} \sin \omega t - \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} A_{2} \cos \omega t + A_{3} t + A_{4}$$

Let's notice that it satisfies to:

$$m_1 x_1(t) + m_2 x_2(t) = (m_1 + m_2)(A_3 t + A_4)$$

This means that A₃ and A₄ governs the motion of the centre of gravity of the overall system. If one is observing the motion in a reference travelling at the same speed as the centre of gravity of the centre of mass, one gets A₃=0 and A₄=0

In the frame work of the centre of gravity, we have:

$$\dot{x}_1 = A_1 \omega \cos \omega t + A_2 \omega \sin \omega t$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = -\frac{m_1}{m_2} A_1 \omega \cos \omega t + \frac{m_1}{m_2} A_2 \omega \sin \omega t$$

In time t=0, one knows the initial velocities of the two vehicles

$$\dot{x}_1(t=0) = w_1$$
 et $\dot{x}_2(t=0) = w_2$

 With w₁ and w₂ the relative velocities of the vehicles with respect to the centre of mass

 $m_1w_1 + m_2w_2 = 0$

• It comes $\dot{x}_{1}(t-0) = A_{1}(t) = w_{1}$ et

$$\dot{x}_{1}(t=0) = A_{1}\omega = w_{1} \quad \text{et}$$
$$\dot{x}_{2}(t=0) = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}A_{1}\omega = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}w_{1}$$
34

 If we further choose the reference frame on each vehicle so that

$$x_i(0) = 0$$
 $i = 1, 2$

One has

$$A_2 = 0$$

The motion is thus described by the following equations

$$x_{1} = \frac{w_{1}}{\omega} \sin \omega t \qquad \qquad x_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \frac{w_{1}}{\omega} \sin \omega t$$
$$\dot{x}_{1} = w_{1} \cos \omega t \qquad \qquad \dot{x}_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} w_{1} \cos \omega t$$
$$\ddot{x}_{1} = -\omega w_{1} \sin \omega t \qquad \qquad \ddot{x}_{2} = +\omega \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} w_{1} \sin \omega t$$

Conclusion

$$x_{1} = \frac{w_{1}}{\omega} \sin \omega t \qquad \qquad x_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} \frac{w_{1}}{\omega} \sin \omega t$$
$$\dot{x}_{1} = w_{1} \cos \omega t \qquad \qquad \dot{x}_{2} = -\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} w_{1} \cos \omega t$$
$$\ddot{x}_{1} = -\omega w_{1} \sin \omega t \qquad \qquad \ddot{x}_{2} = +\omega \frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}} w_{1} \sin \omega t$$

 The study of the frontal shocks can be mapped back on the solution of a vehicle against a rigid wall that would follow the centre of mass of the two-mass system.